1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI COUNTY ARIZONA  FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI  2012 FEB 15 AM 8: 57
3	ZUIZFEB 15 AM 8: 57
4	SANDAA A HARAHAM. CLERK STATE OF ARIZONA, )
5	) Plaintiff, )
6	vs. ) Case No. V1300CR201080049
7	) JAMES ARTHUR RAY, )Court of Appeals
8	) Case No. 1 CA-CR 11-0895 Defendant.
9	)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R. DARROW
16	INITIAL APPEARANCE
17	FEBRUARY 4, 2010
18	Camp Verde, Arizona
19	camp verde, mrrzena
20	
21	
22	£ . 5
23	Cilolina
24	REPORTED BY MINA G. HUNT
25	AZ CR NO. 50619 CA CSR NO. 8335
20	CA COR NO. 6555

dings had before the Honorable 1 2 WARREN R. DARROW, Judge, taken on Thursday, 3 February 4, 2010, at Yavapai County Superior Court, Division Pro Tem B, 2840 North Commonwealth Drive, 5 Camp Verde, Arizona, before Mina G. Hunt, Certified 6 Reporter within and for the State of Arizona. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI STATE OF ARIZONA, Plaintiff. Case No. V1300CR201080049 vs Court of Appeals Case No 1 CA-CR 11-0895 JAMES ARTHUR RAY, Defendant 10 11 12 13 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R DARROW 15 16 INITIAL APPEARANCE FEBRUARY 4, 2010 17 Camp Verde, Arizona 18 19 20 21 22 23 REPORTED BY MINA G HUNT AZ CR NO 50619 CA CSR NO 8335 24 Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

1

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

**2** For the Plaintiff:

3 YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: STEVEN A. YOUNG, ATTORNEY

4 255 East Gurley Street Prescott, Arizona 86301

6 For the Defendant:

7 THOMAS K. KELLY, PC

BY: THOMAS K. KELLY, ATTORNEY

425 East Gurley

Prescott, Arizona 86301-0001

MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON, LLP

BY. LUIS LI, ATTORNEY

355 South Grand Avenue

**11** Thirty-fifth Floor

Los Angeles, California 90071-1560

12 13

14

15 16 17

24

25

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

PROCEEDINGS

2 THE COURT: This is cause

3 No. V1300CR201080049, State of Arizona versus James

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

4 Arthur Ray, who is present in custody with his

5 attorney, Mr. Kelly. Mr. Young represents the

6 state.

23 24

25

1

7 This is the time set for the initial8 appearance in this matter. There has been a return

**9** of an indictment. But before we get to that, I did

10 receive and saw this morning dated February 3 a fax

11 requesting that cameras be permitted in court for

**12** this proceeding. I provided the letter, that

13 request, to both of the attorneys. And I received

4 a fax. That was a fax of February 3. I did see it

15 just this morning.

16 But then on February 4 at 8:50, I got a 17 motion to preclude media coverage of court 18 proceedings. It was submitted by Mr. Kelly, joined 19 by Mr. Young. And under Rule 122, when there are

20 objections, the Court is to hold a hearing

21 promptly.

22 So that's what we're going to do right

23 now is have a hearing on that issue. I have

4 ordered at this time cameras cannot be present. Of

course, under the new rule, really a presumption

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

4

3

that cameras are permitted, electronic recording is permitted. But there does have to be a hearing.

I'll just say this, Counsel: The pleading or the motion submitted by Mr. Kelly is really conclusory, as they say. It just recites the factors.

7 I want to note this also: The request is 8 timely. When there is less than three days before a proceeding, you can't necessarily have the 48 9 hours that are mandated. But there still has been 10 very little notice. And that's just the way the 11 time frame worked out. 12

But do either of the attorneys want to supplement the motion that opposes the presence of cameras?

16 MR. KELLY: If I may, Judge, I'd also like the record to reflect the presence of Luis Li from 17 18 Munger, Tolles & Olson.

THE COURT: Okay. And I understand that pro hac vice representation is made, that request.

MR. LI: That's correct, Your Honor.

MR. KELLY: It has been filed. It is pending. 22

23 And I did contact Mr. Young. We discussed this

proposed preclusion of media coverage. And I've 24

outlined the factors which I believe to be relevant Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

6

in this regard.

2

3

4

6

13

14

15

19

20

21

1

2

3

5

6

7

The goal of both parties is to obtain a fair trial for all interested persons. So we would ask for preclusion and, of course, under your discretion in that regard.

THE COURT: Mr. Young, is there anything you wanted to add to that?

8 MR. YOUNG: Judge, the state's interest in 9 getting a fair and impartial jury, if this case were to proceed to trial, this is a relatively 10 11 small county, or rural county. Posing pictures and

video of Mr. Ray while in custody could damage that 12 end. So we would ask you to preclude the request. 13

14 THE COURT: Okay. Obviously any ruling that's 15 made here applies only to this very brief initial 16 appearance hearing. The rule does provide --

17 Subsection D -- that electronic and still

18 photographic coverage of the appearance or

testimony of a particular witness may be prohibited 19

if the Judge determines that such coverage would 20

21 have a greater adverse impact on the witness or his

22 or her testimony than nonelectrical or

23 nonphotographic coverage would have.

24 Then Part C says, the Judge may limit or 25 prohibit electronic or still photographic coverage

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

only after king specific on-the-record findings that there is a likelihood of harm arising from one or more of the above factors that outweighs the

benefit to the public of camera coverage.

5 In that regard, essentially, what I have 6 are avowals from both parties that there would be 7 such harm. Obviously the rule normally 8 contemplates at least 48 hours to consider more specifically the actual facts that go behind the 10 assertions that cameras would be harmful.

11 But I'm finding at this time two factors 12 apply: Subsection 6 under Part B, the time limits 13 of the request pursuant to Subsection F of the rule. As indicated, the request was technically 14 15 timely. But in terms of actually considering the 16 true factors that could impact the fairness of the 17 proceeding, there has been no opportunity 18 whatsoever to look into those facts and have an

19 intelligent, meaningful decision. 20 The other factor is the adequacy of the 21 physical facilities, the court, for coverage. This 22 is a relatively new courthouse now. There is 23 "e-courtrooms." But just from what was happening 24 this morning, there are logistical issues that

would need to be worked out if in the future

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

cameras are permitted in this courtroom, if this

2 happens to be the assigned court or in another

3 courtroom. There hasn't been time to consider that

4 and look into those matters as well.

5 As a matter of fact, to hold the hearing 6 could even interfere with the required timeliness 7 for this particular proceeding.

8 So I do make the finding, then, pursuant to Subsection C regarding the likelihood of harm.

And, again, based at this point largely on the 10 11 avowal of counsel that's been presented to me and

12 the lack of time sufficient to conduct an

13 appropriate and proper hearing.

The cameras, then, are not going to be allowed for this proceeding.

16 Another thing I should note too, that in 17 later proceedings I anticipate that there will be a 18 hearing before whoever is actually handling the 19 matter, whatever judge. And there can be a full, 20 appropriate or proper hearing at a later time when 21 the issue can be considered. But that is my ruling 22 for today.

The next matter really has to do with the initial appearance, which is why the case is set.

> And, Mr. Kelly, let me ask you, have you Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

14

15

23

24

25

12

4

5

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

15

18

21

22

23

10

reviewed the basic matters that are covered in an 1 2 initial with Mr. Ray?

MR. KELLY: I have, Judge. Would you prefer 3 4 that we stand at the podium?

THE COURT: You can remain at counsel table. That's fine. I want to ask first. Is Mr. Ray's name and address correct as indicated on the jail and court documents?

9 MR. KELLY: It is, Judge.

5

6 7

8

10

11

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: And has Mr. Ray been informed of the charges? Have you done that, Mr. Kelly?

12 MR. KELLY: I have, Judge. We've got a copy 13 of the indictment we've reviewed with our client. 14 We waive its formal reading. His name is spelled 15 correctly.

16 We'd enter a plea of not guilty, request 17 that a jury trial be preserved and a case 18 management conference be set.

19 THE COURT: Okay. That's, essentially, what 20 would be done at an arraignment. This isn't the arraignment. It's an initial appearance. And what 21 I've indicated, I indicated I'm going to set -- as 22 23 I indicated to counsel briefly, I intend to set the matter into EDC, early disposition court. However,

I think that if the parties want to waive that,

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

that by an agreement that can be considered an 1 2 arraignment proceeding rather than an EDC 3 proceeding. And there probably could be a waiver of that later hearing. 4

In any event, I'll note that Mr. Kelly --I'm going to say I'm not conducting the arraignment today. This is strictly the initial appearance, basically, the proceeding that's required within 24 hours of arrest.

The charges in the indictment are three counts of manslaughter, not alleged to be dangerous, Class 2 felonies.

You have received a copy of that, you've indicated. I'll also note that there are requests about the indictment not being released or documentation. I don't know of any reason why that would be the case at this point because it's now been served obviously.

I find probable cause exists from the grand jury determination.

And I'd ask the parties if you wish to address release conditions at this time. I know I have a motion that's been submitted to the Court. It's titled "Notice of Motion for Reduced Bail,

24 Memorandum of Point and Authorities." This is a

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

educed bail. I've read of some it of. 1 request for

The motion itself is not particularly lengthy. 2

There is a lot of supporting documentation.

Anything else with regard to release request at this time?

6 MR. KELLY: Judge, what I would like to 7 discuss -- first of all, I appreciate the informal conversation we had in this regard and would emphasize that these are probation available 9 10 offenses. My client has no criminal history whatsoever. He surrendered into the custody of the 11 12 Yavapaı County Sheriffs at my office yesterday 13 afternoon.

I believe, Judge, that the bail of \$5 million is unconscionable given those facts alone. We have had the opportunity to discuss 17 extensively with Yavapai County Attorney's Office a proposed stipulation to a reduced sum. The county attorney is not prepared to do that today. It's Mr. Hughes we met with this morning.

Given those facts, Judge, what I would 22 ask is an expedited hearing on the issue of the appropriate amount of the bond. And I would suggest if possible and with the agreement of the 25 state -- I understand the response and reply

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

deadlines under Arizona law. But if we could

2 conduct such a hearing perhaps a week from Friday,

if your calendar permits and if Mr. Young were

4 inclined to agree, that would be our request.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Young, your comments.

6 MR. YOUNG: Judge, I don't have any objection 7 to that request for a setting.

8 THE COURT: Then what I'm going to do at this 9 time is confirm the existing conditions of release, 10 which do carry bail at \$5 million, which may be

satisfied through cash or secured bond. 11

12 I will set a hearing. The way I'm going to do that, Counsel, is this: I'll be setting the 13 14 EDC hearing, which is the next hearing, which, as I've indicated, I think a waiver of arraignment -if that procedure is followed, then perhaps that

16 17 hearing would not be necessary -- that EDC hearing.

Then if the release hearing is going to 19 be conducted in this court, my JA will get with 20 both attorneys, both sides, and then set a time, then, on Friday if at all possible. I know there is a jury trial that is scheduled in process at that time.

24 So it is ordered at this time that the next court date is an early disposition court

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

16

hearing. That will be next Thurse y, February 11. 1 And that is at 8:00 a.m. if Mr. Ray is in custody, 2 3 1:00 p.m. if not in custody.

I do have an order containing the general 5 release conditions, also the next setting for 6 February 11 at 8:00 or 1:00. I'm also ordering that Mr. Ray obey all orders of the Court, not 7 8 commit any crimes, notify the Court immediately if 9 there is an address change, not leave the state of Arizona without the permission of the Court, not 10 11 have any contact with the alleged victims in any 12 manner.

13 You must remain in contact with your attorney. You must do that. And I have already 14 15 confirmed the bond previously set.

16 Mr. Kelly, I'm going to have this order 17 handed to you by the bailiff. Have that signed.

19 have any other -- anything further in the 20 proceeding with regard to release conditions or any 21 other matter?

At this time, though, Mr. Young, did you

22 MR. YOUNG: Judge, if could you include the 23 name of the decedent victims -- James Shore, Liz 24 Neuman and Kirby Brown -- in the no-contact provision with their families, please.

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

THE COURT: Okay. It's ordered that the -- I want to hear from the defense on that.

3 Mr. Kelly.

18

1

2

4 MR. KELLY: No objection.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Then it is ordered that those names will be the specific persons to which 7 the order applies. No contact.

8 Mr. Kelly.

9 MR. KELLY: May I have a brief moment, Judge?

10 THE COURT: Yes.

11 MR. KELLY: During our discussions with

12 Mr. Hughes from the county attorney's office, as it 13

relates to the reasonableness of the bond, we were

14 going to provide some private financial information

15 on behalf of our client. And I believe with

16 agreement from the county attorney's office, we'd

ask for a protective order that that private, 17

18 confidential, financial information that has

19 nothing to do with the merits of the case but may

20 relate to the county attorney's opinion as to the

21 reasonableness of the bond be protected from

22 disclosure to any third party.

23 THE COURT: Mr. Young?

24 MR. YOUNG: No objection.

25 THE COURT: Okay. That will be ordered at Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

this time. MR. KELLY: Thank you. That's all I have,

3 Judge.

1

2

8

4 THE COURT: The hearing is going to take place

5 a week from Friday. I would like to accelerate

6 briefing schedule, Mr. Young, for any written

7 response.

When would you have that?

9 MR. YOUNG: Could we have till Wednesday, Your

10 Honor?

11 MR. KELLY: Judge --

12 THE COURT: If you want to reply, I want you

13 to have enough time to do that as well. But

that -- I think that would be a reasonable amount

15 of time.

16 MR. KELLY: I agree, Judge. We need a day to

17 reply.

18 THE COURT: Okay. So that will be the time,

19 then, for a response.

20 Anything further, Counsel?

21 MR. KELLY: No, sir.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Kelly, please make sure when

23 you get the signature --

24 Also, Mr. Young. If you wanted to amend

25 or just put the specific names. If there is any

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

question about the names, they can be written in at

2 this time as well if you want to do that.

3 MR. YOUNG: Yes. Please.

4 MR. KELLY: I also have my notice of

5 appearance.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Kelly and Mr. Ray, you've seen

7 the order now with the names inserted?

8 MR. KELLY: We have.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

10 We will adjourn.

11 (The proceedings concluded.)

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

```
STATE OF ARIZONA
                                 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
    COUNTY OF YAVAPAI
               I, Mina G Hunt, do hereby certify that I
    am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
    and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California
               I further certify that these proceedings
    were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
    herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
    typewritten form, and that the foregoing
10
    constitutes a true and correct transcript
11
               I further certify that I am not related
    to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
13
    parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
14
    interested in the result of the within action
15
              In witness whereof, I have affixed my
16
    signature this 13th day of February, 2012
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
                MINA G HUNT, AZ CR No 50619
CA CSR No 8335
25
               Mina G Hunt
```

(928) 554-8522

1	STATE OF ARIZONA ) ) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI )
3	
4	I, Mina G. Hunt, do hereby certify that I
5	am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
6	and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
7	I further certify that these proceedings
8	were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
9	herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
10	typewritten form, and that the foregoing
11	constitutes a true and correct transcript.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
14	parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
15	interested in the result of the within action.
16	In witness whereof, I have affixed my
17	signature this 13th day of February, 2012.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR No. 50619
24	CA CSR No. 8335
25	